

Full text of first image:

1. Small-town charm --- close knit community
2. Walkability/bikeability, public safety capacity, infrastructure/schools --- maintenance of existing mobility/traffic around especially 1st St + A St corridors
3. See #2! All related. Other concerns: general traffic, pulling away from downtown + businesses w/ bedroom community design, security/emergency services: w/ exponential growth comes exp. challenges to police/fire; congestion in general; lack of long-term benefit in local hiring language.
4. Vibrant downtown, walkable/bikeable, local economy + employment, easy downtown access, redevelopment of industrial near downtown to allow growth of downtown, flourishing schools and easy access for students to walk/bike without danger from excess car traffic
5. Offer more sessions, continue multi-channel outreach
6. The new NOP is more measured, but more effort could be put into promoting infill. It is jumping the gun to include Harvest. It has not been approved and presenting it like this is disingenuous.
7. This assumes approval. We would prefer if it wasn't. Public safety is a prerequisite, not a perk, and it should not be presented as such.

Full text of second image:

(Answer to #3)

We are concerned about

- Traffic on the freeway
- Viability of downtown

(Answer to #7) – (Most of us) We do not want a large development approved

Question about #6

- What does the green line [in the NOP] mean for growth
- Where are the conservation easements on this map
- Please add more streets + development names on the map for reference
- Add evidence of areas available for infill

Question 4 – We want more decisions to have been made by current residents